|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The checklist for article evaluation. | Article 1 | Article 2 | Article 3 |
| Abstract :  Context.  Motivation  Methods  Results  Conclusion. | domain of problem is difficulty in compiling the user data of a social network.  Problem area: difficulty in collecting the user profile and corresponding graphical information from the social networking sites, here the author is referring to Facebook.  Both problem area and problem are described.  The text used was relevant to title and concise but not clear.  However the authors did not mention about methods that are used to get the results and the brief conclusion part is missing.  Key words are adequate. | The author initially describes the growing importance of social networks in recent times.  Problem area: online interaction and sharing of personal information with unknown users.  The author wants to develop a research model with trust and safety as base to understand the impact of privacy due to sharing of information in social networks here also the author refers to Facebook.  The author uses the questionnaire data collection tool and the research method is observed to be survey, which he did not mention.  Key findings are clearly elucidated about positive effect on privacy sharing concerns.  The conclusions are also depicted clearly.  The first paragraph very lengthy, it was however clear and in relevance to the title and research he is performing but not concise as the abstract cannot be so lengthy.  The keywords used are clear and good. | The author in the article clearly described the problem area that is in terms of privacy concern about difficulty in access control management for the information shared on the social networks.  problem belong to misalignment in users actual and intended privacy setting differ and he proposed a schema for intended users privacy settings.  The results he mentioned are clear as they show the difference between actual and user intended privacy settings.  Pilot study is mentioned but the conclusions are not clearly stated,  author did not mention about which part of social network he was differentiating the actual and intended privacy settings, later in the article he mentioned about albums are his area of interest in deducing the differences in privacy settings. |
| Introduction  Problem domain :  Importance of problem  Research question fill the knowledge gap or not.  Background work on the study. | The author gave the introduction well by describing about the social networks  importance of the problem by describing the centralization of mass amount of personal data on the social networks  case study on social network Facebook  to verify privacy policy in reality, it is not up to mark.  author also done a good related work or state of art about the research performed in the area of his research study.  the choice of Facebook and also the type of data extracted by the Facebook of three types make the data most valuable asset to them but also very vulnerable to privacy personal information breach.  though he explains the motivation behind the choice of research and also background work is done good and problem area being researched is clear.  The research gap is not mentioned clearly so does the research questions are not formulated .  The implications of solving the problem and also the consequences of not solving the problem are not explained. | The author gave the introduction very well by describing the importance of influence of social networking websites.  motivation in choosing the problem area sharing of information plays a vital role like Assam riots as example, similar to that he gave the statistical reference to 25% of American’s believe their personal information is at stack.  research gap as mentioned above is it importance to share the information or not and the corresponding impact of privacy and security if the information is shared.  The research questions were interlinked with the research gap he was mentioning about.  Then the author also done a good relevant background research work related to the corresponding pilot study.  why the research is performed and if not done then the corresponding implications are not discussed | the problem area being discussed clearly about the amount of information shared on websites and the lack of effective data privacy management.  background work as non of them described about the privacy concern in sharing photo albums which he manages to provide a schema to give the user complete rights rather than one provided by Facebook in controlling the access control policy in allow or deny of the particular individual album by other friends and unknown person’s.  The author clearly explains the way in which he want his schema to work out step by step by using side headings and even though it is technical and complex his way of presenting for understanding the concept of album sharing privacy policy is excellent. |
| Research Methods.  Why and how are Research methods used.  How are they applied. | motivation behind the choice of web crawling script is mentioned and also a complete detailed explanation about the way in which a persons social network can be compromised using phishing and also the defect in Facebook Fql language is mentioned clearly.  some places used the statistical values but did not mention how those values were obtained based on which research method.  The author didn’t mention about why not using other research methods and also the alternative way to solve this research question other than the one he followed. | The authors choose the research method survey and the data collection tool for the research is used online questionnaire for the hypothesis the author used.  The author did not give any alternative procedure to solve the problem.  The author did not discuss his motivation behind choosing the research method.  The author had completely utilized the research method to provide statistical data of all the hypothesis he assumed which is clear for his choice of selection of research method. | The author clearly mentioned the scope of his research survey and also he described his way of using the research method in solving individual research question and also depicts the kind of answers the author wants.  . The author did not describe the reason for not using the other research methods.  The author also mentioned the effectiveness of tag cloud visualization and compared the results with and without the tags, which makes his choice of research method strong. |
| Results:  Data collection  Data analysis | The data collection technique’s were perfectly mentioned and the clear detailed graph explanation has been given.  The data analysis method though not explicitly mentioned carried out pretty well. THE comparison of the experiment data with real time statistics uplifted the research results. | The explanation and numerical representation of the hypothesis was perfect.  The data collection methods are perfectly mentioned and the statistical tabular representation of the results for reliability in the hypothesis and analysis of the data was also clear but we presume the data would have been much clear if they mentioned the step by step in which they obtained the results.  Then he clearly mentioned the cronbach’s alpa measurement for the consistency which is impressive to use those evaluation tools. | The author had tabulated the results but sub heading would have helped in clear understand of the data collection techniques and data analysis phase is not that clear enough to understand.  IT is continuous text cannot understand a bit clarity is missing in the results article. |
| Conclusions:  References. | The author shows the current state of protection against data crawling of social networks is not at good pace and further he elucidates through the table.  The authors also clearly mentioned what is added to the current body of knowledge,  The author also suggest the limit the number of mechanisms to access user data.  Overall it is good conclusion with numerical proofs | The author clearly described by using the TAM model and also social exchange theory was tested and the results. Were provided.  The author also depicts the factors for the users to believe in Facebook is secure as it is trusted once.  The author depicts the privacy has no domination over the trust over the website and the results he obtained is interesting to be noticed.  The conclusion’s are very frank and The author describe how the results might help the social network websites to understand how the acceptance and sharing of the information lead to privacy concern help the websites to strengthen their internal framework.  The author also explained the limitation’s in his research study | The author proposed the scheme for reduction in misconfiguration in the access control policy and the results from which he obtained them are clear but should be much elaborate in terms of numerical and clear paragraph one point per paragraph is not utilized.  The conclusion was simple but the results from which the conclusion were true in terms of intended user policies are different from that of the actual policy which help the social networking websites to look into this research study,  The limitations are not mentioned for the study |